

M1 INTERMEDIATE ECONOMETRICS

Large-sample inference

Koen Jochmans François Poinas

2025 — 2026

We now consider the case where

$$Y = X'\beta + e, \quad \mathbb{E}(Xe) = 0.$$

Here, the exact distribution of the least-squares estimator is unknown.

We rely on asymptotics, using that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_{\beta}),$$

and

$$\hat{V}_{\beta} \xrightarrow{d} V_{\beta}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The developments to test a collection of m linear contrasts $\theta = R'\beta$ are essentially as before.

It is immediate that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_\theta),$$

and that

$$\hat{V}_\theta = R'\hat{V}_\beta R \xrightarrow{p} V_\theta = R'V_\beta R$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We can use these results to validate the same test procedures as before.

The key difference to before is that, now, size and power can only be approximated.

Under the null $\mathbb{H}_0 : \theta = \theta_0$,

$$W = n(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)' \hat{V}_\theta^{-1} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_m^2,$$

and so we take critical values c_α to be the $(1 - \alpha)$ th quantile of the χ_m^2 distribution.

This ensures that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(W > c_\alpha) = \alpha.$$

So, in practice, there is a difference between the theoretical/asymptotic size of the test and its actual size.

Notice that using V_θ in place of \hat{V}_θ does not affect any of the results on this slide.

Consistency means that the probability of making a type-II error goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The above procedure is consistent against all fixed alternatives.

To see this, let

$$b_\theta = V_\theta^{-1/2}(\theta - \theta_0).$$

Then

$$\sqrt{n}\hat{V}_\theta^{-1/2}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \sqrt{n}V_\theta^{-1/2}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) + \sqrt{n}b_\theta \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_m) + \sqrt{n}b_\theta.$$

Therefore,

$$W \xrightarrow{d} \chi_m^2(n b'_\theta b_\theta).$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ the non-centrality parameter $n b'_\theta b_\theta > 0$ diverges to infinity.

Consistency follows:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_\theta(W > c_\alpha) = 1$$

for any fixed value θ (different from θ_0).

Consistency is desirable.

Does not say much about what power we can expect for a given sample size and a given alternative.

Consider shrinking alternatives of the form

$$\theta = \theta_0 + \frac{h}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for some fixed value h .

Makes the deviation smaller as the sample size grows in a way that

$$\sqrt{n}(\theta - \theta_0) = h$$

remains fixed.

It should be clear where the rate of \sqrt{n} comes from.

Now

$$\sqrt{n}\hat{V}_\theta^{-1/2}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = \sqrt{n}V_\theta^{-1/2}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) + \sqrt{n}b_\theta \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_m) + V_\theta^{-1/2}h$$

and the bias term does not blow up as n grows.

This means that the non-centrality parameter does not diverge. Now,

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(W > c_\alpha) \rightarrow 1 - \mathcal{X}_m(c_\alpha, h'V_\theta^{-1}h).$$

for \mathcal{X}_m the CDF of the relevant non-central Chi-squared distribution.

We then substitute back in $h = \sqrt{n}(\theta - \theta_0)$ and use the probability

$$1 - \mathcal{X}_m(c_\alpha, n(\theta - \theta_0)'V_\theta^{-1}(\theta - \theta_0))$$

to approximate the power against alternative θ for a sample of size n .

Nonlinear hypothesis

Once we rely on an asymptotic approximation we are no longer bound to test only linear hypotheses.

Let $r : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a vector valued function mapping β to a set of m nonlinear transformations.

Then we may wish to test the null $\mathbb{H}_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ for $\theta = r(\beta)$.

The delta method implies that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_\theta)$$

for

$$V_\theta = R(\beta)' V_\beta R(\beta)$$

where

$$R(b) = \frac{\partial r'(b)}{\partial b}.$$

is the $k \times m$ Jacobian matrix of the vector function r .

Mean-value expansion of $r(\hat{\beta})$ around β gives

$$r(\hat{\beta}) = r(\beta) + R(\beta^*)'(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$$

for some $\beta^* \xrightarrow{p} \beta$.

If the Jacobian is continuous at β , $R(\beta^*) \xrightarrow{p} R(\beta)$ and so

$$\sqrt{n}(r(\hat{\beta}) - r(\beta)) \xrightarrow{d} R(\beta)' \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta).$$

Because $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_\beta)$,

$$\sqrt{n}(r(\hat{\beta}) - r(\beta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, R(\beta)' V_\beta R(\beta))$$

follows.

We now use

$$W = n(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)' \hat{V}_\theta^{-1} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)$$

and proceed in the same way as before.

The variance estimator is $\hat{V}_\theta = R(\hat{\beta})' \hat{V}_\beta R(\hat{\beta})$ and is readily shown to be consistent for V_θ .